

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,440	12/13/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 121	9993

Michael D. Hooven

7590

08/27/2003

COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD

200 WEST ADAMS STREET

CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

KEARNEY, ROSILAND STACIE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3739

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1 0/			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	000	10/015,440)	HOOVEN, MICHAE	EL D.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rosiland S		3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Extensafter: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut d will apply and will ate, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	B December 20	<u>001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is r	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from con	sideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	or election re	quirement.					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) 🔲 🗀	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b)	bjected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) l	oe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen	•		_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				
.S. Patent and T	ademark Office	• 4: 5						

Application/Control Number: 10/015,440

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulier et al. '037 further in view of Schulze et al. '970. Mulier et al. disclose a combination ablation visualization apparatus comprising an elongated body (30), first and second jaws (48 & 50), and a fluid pathway (58) terminating in a plurality of apertures (166). Mulier et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except a dissecting member. Schulze et al. disclose a similar apparatus and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a dissecting member (50) that is useful for separating tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a dissecting member on the Mulier et al. apparatus as taught by Schulze et al. to provide a means of separating tissue.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulier et al. and Schulze et al. further in view of Wappler et al. '559. Mulier et al. and Schulze et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except an endoscope and light source. Wappler et al. disclose a similar device that includes an endoscope (31) and a light source (33) that provides a means of viewing the treatment site. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 3739

was made to provide an endoscope and light source on the Mulier et al. device to provide a means of viewing the treatment site.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Kearney whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/3080858.

ROSILAND K. ROLLINS

Mosiland Learney Mellins

PRIMARY EXAMINER